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#### Abstract

In this paper, an experimental study is conducted to show the efficiency of the Quarter-Sweep Successive Over-Relaxation (QSSOR) iterative method by using the quadrature approximation equations to obtain numerical solutions of the first kind linear Fredholm integral equations. Furthermore, the derivation and implementation of the QSSOR method in solving first kind linear Fredholm integral equations are also presented. Numerical examples and comparisons with other existing methods are given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.
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## INTRODUCTION

Presently, the theory and application of integral equations is an important subject within applied mathematics. Integral equations are used as mathematical models for many and varied physical circumstances and also occur as reformulations of other mathematical models. Particularly, linear Fredholm integral equations of the first kind appear in the mathematical formulation of various and important inverse problems such as seismology, gravity surveying, computerized tomography and image deblurring (Băutu et al., 2005).

The above-mentioned inverse problems, as well as others, can be formulated as a first kind linear integral equations, which has the generic form as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma} K(x, t) y(t) d t=f(x), \quad \Gamma=[a, b] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the kernel function $K \in L^{2}(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$ and the function $f \in L(\Gamma)$ are given, and $y \in L(\Gamma)$ is the unknown function to be determined. $K(x, t)$ is called Fredholm kernel if the kernel in Eq. (1) is continuous on the square $S=\{a \leq x \leq b, a \leq t \leq b\}$ or at least square integrable on this square. Then, Eq. (1) with constant integration limits and Fredholm kernel are called first kind linear Fredholm integral equations (Polyanin \& Manzhirov, 1998). Meanwhile, Eq. (1) also can be rewritten in the operator form as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa: S \rightarrow T \kappa(y(t))=\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) y(t) d t . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition (Maleknejad et al., 2006)
Let $\kappa: S \rightarrow T$ be an operator from normed space $S$ into a normed space $T$, the equation $\kappa y=f$ is called well-posed if $\kappa$ is onto, one to one and $\kappa^{-1}: T \rightarrow S$ is continuous. Otherwise the equation is called ill-posed.

In many application areas, numerical approaches were used widely to solve Fredholm integral equations. To solve Eq. (2) numerically, we either seek to determine an approximation solution in a chosen finite dimensional space by using projection method (Hsiao, 1980; Shang \& Han, 2007; Maleknejad et al., 2006; Oladejo et al., 2008) or the quadrature method (Boland, 1972; Muthuvalu \& Sulaiman, 2008; 2009). Such discretizations of integral equations lead to dense linear systems and can be prohibitively expensive to solve as $n$, the order of the linear systems increases. Thus, iterative methods are the natural options for efficient solutions of the linear system.

Consequently, the concept of the half-sweep iteration method has been inspired by Abdullah (1991) via the Explicit Decoupled Group (EDG) method to solve two-dimensional Poisson equations. Half-sweep iteration is also known as the complexity reduction approach (Hasan et al., 2007). Following to that, applications of the half-sweep iteration iterative methods have been reviewed in Yousif and Evans (1995), Abdullah and Ali (1996), Othman et al. (2000), Sulaiman et al. (2004; 2007; 2008) and Abdullah et al. (2006). In 2000, Othman and Abdullah extended this concept by introducing quarter-sweep iterative method via the Modified Explicit Group (MEG) iterative method to solve two-dimensional Poisson equations. Further studies to verify the effectiveness of the quartersweep iterative methods have been carried out by Othman and Abdullah (2001), Hasan et al. (2005), Sulaiman et al. (2004), Hasan et al. (2008) and Sulaiman et al. (2008). The basic idea of the half- and quarter-sweep iterative methods is to reduce the computational complexities during iteration process. Since the implementation of the half- and quarter-sweep iterations will only consider nearly half and quarter of all interior node points in a solution domain respectively. In this paper, we examined the applications of the half- and quarter-sweep iteration concepts with Successive Over-Relaxation (SOR) iterative method by using approximation equation based on quadrature scheme for solving problem (1). The standard SOR iterative method is also called as the Full-Sweep Successive OverRelaxation (FSSOR) method. Meanwhile, combinations of the SOR method with half- and quartersweep iterations are called as Half-Sweep Successive Over-Relaxation (HSSOR) and Quarter-Sweep Successive Over-Relaxation (QSSOR) methods respectively.

The remainder of this paper is organized in following way. In next section, the formulation of the full-, half- and quarter-sweep quadrature approximation equations will be elaborated. The latter section of this paper will discuss the formulations of the FSSOR, HSSOR and QSSOR iterative methods in solving linear systems generated from discretization of the Eq. (1) and then some numerical results will be shown to assert the effectiveness of the proposed method. Besides that, analysis on computational complexity is also given and the concluding remarks are given in final section.

## FULL-, HALF- AND QUARTER-SWEEP QUADRATURE APPROXIMATION EQUATIONS

As afore-mentioned, a discretization scheme based on method of quadrature was used to construct approximation equations for problem (1) by replacing the integral to finite sums. Generally, quadrature method can be defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} y(t) d t=\sum_{j=0}^{n} A_{j} y\left(t_{j}\right)+\varepsilon_{n}(y) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{j}(\mathrm{j}=0,1,2, \ldots n)$ is the abscissas of the partition points of the integration interval $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}], A_{j}$ $(j=0,1,2, \ldots n)$, is numerical coefficients that do not depend on the function $\mathrm{y}(t)$ and $\varepsilon_{n}(y)$ is the truncation error of Eq. (3). Meanwhile, Fig. 1 shows the finite grid networks in order to form the full- and quarter-sweep quadrature approximation equations.
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Figure 1 a), b) and c) show distribution of uniform node points for the full-, half- and quarter-sweep cases respectively.

Based on Fig. 1, the full-, half- and quarter-sweep iterative methods will compute approximate values onto node points of type ${ }^{\bullet}$ only until the convergence criterion is reached. Then, other approximate solutions at remaining points (points of the different type) can be computed using the direct method (Abdullah, 1991; Othman \& Abdullah, 2000).

By applying Eq. (3) into Eq. (1) and neglecting the error, $\varepsilon_{n}(y)$, a system of linear equations can be formed for approximation values of $y(t)$. The following linear system generated using quadrature method can be easily shown in matrix form as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
M y=f \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
M=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_{0} K_{0,0} & A_{p} K_{0, p} & A_{2 p} K_{0,2 p} & \cdots & A_{n} K_{0, n} \\
A_{0} K_{p, 0} & A_{p} K_{p, p} & A_{2 p} K_{p, 2 p} & \cdots & A_{n} K_{p, n} \\
A_{0} K_{2 p, 0} & A_{p} K_{2 p, p} & A_{2 p} K_{2 p, 2 p} & \cdots & A_{n} K_{2 p, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
A_{0} K_{n, 0} & A_{p} K_{n, p} & A_{2 p} K_{n, 2 p} & \cdots & A_{n} K_{n, n}
\end{array}\right]_{\left(\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^{2}\right)_{x}\left(\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)+1\right)}, \\
\quad \underset{\sim}{y}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
y_{0} & y_{p} & y_{2 p} & \cdots & y_{n-2 p} & y_{n-p} \\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right]^{T},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\underset{\sim}{f}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
f_{0} & f_{p} & f_{2 p} & \cdots & f_{n-2 p} & f_{n-p} & f_{n}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

In order to facilitate the formulation of the full-, half- and quarter-sweep quadrature approximation equations for problem (1), further discussion will be restricted onto repeated trapezoidal (RT) scheme, which is based on linear interpolation formula with equally spaced data. Based on RT scheme, numerical coefficient will satisfy the following relation

$$
A_{j}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} p h, & j=0, n  \tag{5}\\ \text { ph, } & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where the constant step size, $h$ is defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{b-a}{n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $n$ is the number of subintervals in the interval $[a, b]$. Meanwhile, the value of $p$, which corresponds to 1,2 and 4 , represents the full-, half- and quarter-sweep cases respectively.

## FORMULATION OF THE SUCCESSIVE OVER-RELAXATION METHODS

As mentioned in Section 1, FSSOR, HSSOR and QSSOR iterative methods will be applied to solve linear system generated from the discretization of the problem (1), as shown in Eq. (4). Let matrix $M$ be decomposed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=D-L-U \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D,-L$ and $U$ are diagonal, strictly lower triangular and strictly upper triangular matrices respectively. Thus, the general scheme for FSSOR, HSSOR and QSSOR iterative method can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D{\underset{\sim}{x}}^{(k+1)}=\omega L{\underset{\sim}{x}}^{(k+1)}+\omega U \underset{\sim}{y}{ }^{(k)}+\omega \underset{\sim}{f}+(1-\omega) D{\underset{\sim}{x}}^{(k)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is a weighted parameter.
Actually, the iterative methods attempt to find a solution to the system of linear equations by repeatedly solving the linear system using approximations to the vector $y$. Iterations for iterative methods continue until the solution is within a predetermined acceptable bound on the error. By determining values of matrices $D,-L$ and $U$ as stated in Eq. (7), the general algorithm for FSSOR, HSSOR and QSSOR iterative methods to solve problem (1) would be generally described in Algorithm 1.

## Algorithm 1: FSSOR, HSSOR and QSSOR iterative methods

For $i=0, p, 2 p, \cdots, n-2 p, n-p, n$ and $j=0, p, 2 p, \cdots, n-2 p, n-p, n$
Calculate

$$
y_{i}^{(k+1)} \leftarrow \begin{cases}(1-\omega) y_{i}^{(k)}+\left(\omega \sum_{j=p}^{n} A_{j} K_{i, j} y_{j}^{(k)}+\omega f_{i}\right) / A_{i} K_{i, i}, & i=0 \\ (1-\omega) y_{i}^{(k)}+\left(\omega \sum_{j=0}^{n-p} A_{j} K_{i, j} y_{j}^{(k+1)}+\omega f_{i}\right) / A_{i} K_{i, i}, & i=n \\ (1-\omega) y_{i}^{(k)}+\left(\omega \sum_{j=0}^{i-p} A_{j} K_{i, j} y_{j}^{(k+1)}+\omega \sum_{j=i+p}^{n} A_{j} K_{i, j} y_{j}^{(k)}+\omega f_{i}\right) / A_{i} K_{i, i}, & \text { others }\end{cases}
$$

## NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

In order to compare the performances of the iterative methods described in the previous section, several experiments were carried out on the following two Fredholm integral equations problems. In this paper, we will only consider well-posed equations and the case where $a=0$ and $b=1$.

Example 1 (Rashed, 2003)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} K(x, t) y(t) d t=\frac{1}{6}\left(x^{3}-x\right), 0<x<1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with kernel

$$
K(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t(x-1), \quad t<x \\
x(t-1), \quad x \leq t
\end{array}\right.
$$

The exact solution of the problem is

$$
y(x)=x .
$$

Example 2 (Rashed, 2003)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} K(x, t) y(t) d t=e^{x}+(1-e) x-1,0<x<1, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with kernel

$$
K(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t(x-1), \quad t<x \\
x(t-1), \quad x \leq t
\end{array}\right.
$$

The exact solution of the problem is

$$
y(x)=e^{x} .
$$

There are there parameters considered in numerical comparison such as number of iterations, execution time and maximum absolute error. As comparisons, the Full-Sweep Gauss-Seidel (FSGS) method acts as the control of comparison of numerical results. Throughout the simulations, the convergence test considered the tolerance error of $\varepsilon=10^{-10}$. Meanwhile, the experimental values of $\omega$ were obtained by running the program for different values of $\omega$ and choosing the one(s) that gave the minimum number of iterations. The simulations were carried out on several mesh sizes, 511, 1023, 2047, 4095 and 8191.

Results of numerical simulations, which were obtained from implementations of the FSGS, FSSOR, HSSOR and QSSOR iterative methods for Examples 1 and 2, have been recorded in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. Meanwhile, reduction percentage of the number of iterations and execution time for the FSSOR, HSSOR and QSSOR methods compared with FSGS method have been summarized in Table 3.

Table 1 Comparison of a number of iterations, execution time and maximum absolute error for the iterative methods at optimum value of $\omega$ (Example 1)

| Methods | Number of iterations |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mesh size |  |  |  |  |
|  | 511 | 1023 | 2047 | 4095 | 8191 |
| FSGS | 381 | 461 | 550 | 646 | 753 |
| FSSOR | 273 | 312 | 347 | 371 | 450 |
| HSSOR | 252 | 273 | 312 | 347 | 371 |
| QSSOR | 226 | 252 | 273 | 312 | 347 |
| Execution time (seconds) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methods | Mesh size |  |  |  |  |
|  | 511 | 1023 | 2047 | 4095 | 8191 |
| FSGS | 6.36 | 30.70 | 143.14 | 665.59 | 3177.57 |
| FSSOR | 4.57 | 20.15 | 64.86 | 258.37 | 1273.59 |
| HSSOR | 1.66 | 5.02 | 28.87 | 70.33 | 343.71 |
| QSSOR | 0.56 | 1.06 | 3.96 | 15.08 | 66.25 |
| Maximum absolute error |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methods | Mesh size |  |  |  |  |
|  | 511 | 1023 | 2047 | 4095 | 8191 |
| FSGS | 6.8225 E-10 | 8.3429 E-10 | 8.4449 E-10 | 9.7143 E-10 | 9.7966 E-10 |
| FSSOR | 6.4063 E-10 | 6.9672 E-10 | 7.3476 E-10 | 7.9103 E-10 | 8.3959 E-10 |
| HSSOR | 6.3826 E-10 | 6.4063 E-10 | 6.9672 E-10 | 7.3476 E-10 | 7.9103 E-10 |
| QSSOR | 6.3849 E-10 | 6.3826 E-10 | 6.4063 E-10 | $6.9672 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 7.3476 E-10 |

Table 2 Comparison of a number of iterations, execution time and maximum absolute error for the iterative methods at optimum value of $\omega$ (Example 2)

| Methods | Number of iterations |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mesh size |  |  |  |  |
|  | 511 | 1023 | 2047 | 4095 | 8191 |
| FSGS | 394 | 479 | 568 | 667 | 728 |
| FSSOR | 284 | 325 | 361 | 386 | 469 |
| HSSOR | 243 | 284 | 325 | 361 | 386 |
| QSSOR | 202 | 243 | 284 | 325 | 361 |
| Execution time (seconds) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methods | Mesh size |  |  |  |  |
|  | 511 | 1023 | 2047 | 4095 | 8191 |
| FSGS | 4.77 | 20.36 | 91.35 | 423.66 | 2034.36 |
| FSSOR | 4.43 | 17.37 | 67.21 | 270.31 | 1159.89 |
| HSSOR | 1.58 | 5.45 | 23.66 | 78.11 | 329.60 |
| QSSOR | 0.29 | 1.13 | 4.82 | 21.17 | 89.45 |
| Maximum absolute error |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methods | Mesh size |  |  |  |  |
|  | 511 | 1023 | 2047 | 4095 | 8191 |
| FSGS | $8.6244 \mathrm{E}-7$ | 2.1571 E-7 | $5.5889 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $2.5713 \mathrm{E}-8$ | 4.2551 E-8 |
| FSSOR | 8.6244 E-7 | 2.1571 E-7 | $5.5217 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $2.2807 \mathrm{E}-8$ | 3.7588 E-8 |
| HSSOR | 8.5907 E-6 | 2.1540 E-6 | 5.3914 E-7 | $1.3544 \mathrm{E}-7$ | 3.7003 E-8 |
| QSSOR | $3.4162 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 8.5907 E-6 | 2.1540 E-6 | 5.3914 E-7 | $1.3544 \mathrm{E}-7$ |

Table 3 Reduction percentage of the number of iterations and execution time for the FSSOR, HSSOR and QSSOR methods compared with FSGS method

| Methods | Example 1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of iterations | Execution time |
| FSSOR | $28.34-42.57 \%$ | $28.14-61.19 \%$ |
| HSSOR | $33.85-50.74 \%$ | $73.89-89.44 \%$ |
| QSSOR | $40.68-53.92 \%$ | $91.19-97.92 \%$ |
| Methods |  | Example 2 |
|  |  |  |
| FSSOR | Number of iterations | Execution time |
| HSSOR | $27.91-42.13 \%$ | $7.12-42.99 \%$ |
| QSSOR | $38.32-46.98 \%$ | $66.87-83.80 \%$ |

## COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY ANALYSIS

In order to measure the computational complexity of the FSSOR, HSSOR and QSSOR iterative methods, an estimation amount of the computational work required for iterative methods have been conducted. The computational work is estimated by considering the arithmetic operations performed per iteration. Based on Algorithm 1, it can be observed that there are additions/subtractions (ADD/ SUB) and multiplication/divisions (MUL/DIV) in computing a value for each node point in the solution domain for first kind linear Fredholm integral equations. From the order of the coefficient matrix, in Eq. (4), the total number of arithmetic operations per iteration for the FSSOR, HSSOR and QSSOR iterative methods in solving problem (1) has been summarized in Table 4.

Table 4 Total number of arithmetic operations per iteration for FSSOR, HSSOR and QSSOR methods

| Methods | Arithmetic Operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| FSSOR | ADD/SUB | MUL/DIV |
| HSSOR | $n^{2}+3 n+2$ | $2 n^{2}+7 n+5$ |
| QSSOR | $\frac{n^{2}}{4}+\frac{3 n}{2}+2$ | $\frac{n^{2}}{2}+\frac{7 n}{2}+5$ |
|  | $\frac{n^{2}}{16}+\frac{3 n}{4}+2$ | $\frac{n^{2}}{8}+\frac{7 n}{4}+5$ |

## CONCLUSIONS

In Section 2, it has shown that the formulation of full-, half- and quarter-sweep quadrature approximation equations based on RT scheme can easily generate a system of linear equations. Through numerical solutions obtained in Tables 1 and 2, it clearly shows that half- and quartersweep iteration concept reduces number of iterations and computational time of the iterative method (refer Table 3). Meanwhile, the accuracy of all the iterative methods is in good agreement. It can be summarized that the QSSOR method is the most superior among the iterative methods in the sense of number of iterations and execution time as the mesh sizes getting larger. This is mainly because of computational complexity of the QSSOR which is approximately $75 \%$ and $50 \%$ less than FSSOR and HSSOR methods respectively, see Table 4.
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